

Analysis of MSCP Marriage and Family Counseling Practicum-Internship Orientation Evaluation 2015

**By
Tim W. Ficklin, Ph.D.**

On May 13, 2015, the annual Practicum-Internship Orientation was held. There were 18 evaluation forms (see attached form) collected from the 18 Marriage and Family Counseling Emphasis student attendees (100% response rate). The students were asked to rate each item on a 1 to 5 Likert scale from Strongly Disagree (1) to Uncertain (3) to Strongly Agree (5). The following results were obtained from a SPSS analysis of the data for each question.

- 1. The orientation was presented in an informative and clear manner.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.61, SD = .608. One student (5.6%) answered it “3”, 5 students (27.8%) rated it “4” and 12 students (66.7%) rated it “5”.
- 2. Important points were clearly explained during orientation.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.61, SD = .502. Seven students (38.9%) rated it “4” and 11 students (61.1%) rated it “5”.
- 3. The number of hours required for Practicum and Internship were clearly stated.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average score was 4.89, SD = .323. Two students (11.1%) rated this item “4” and 16 students (88.9%) rated it “5”.
- 4. The difference between administrative and direct contact hours was clearly explained.** A total of 18 students answered this question. The average response was 4.83, SD = .383. Three students (16.7%) rated it “4” and 15 students (83.3%) rated it “5”.
- 5. I had the opportunity to ask questions during orientation.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.78, SD = .428. Four students (22.2%) rated it “4” and 14 students (77.8%) rated it “5”.
- 6. The process of Site Selection was clearly explained during orientation.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.50, SD = .618. One student (5.6%) rated it “3”, 7 students (38.9%) rated the item “4”, and 10 students (55.5%) rated it “5”.
- 7. The requirement to notify the Internship Director if there were ANY problems was clearly stated during orientation.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.89, SD = .323. Two students (11.1%) rated it “4” and 16 students (88.9%) rated it “5”.
- 8. The requirement of submitting proof of insurance and agency contract for approval PRIOR to starting was clearly stated.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.94, SD = .236. One student (5.6%) rated it “4”, and 17 students (94.4%) rated it “5”.

- 9. The roles of Internship Director, Clinical Director, Site Supervisor and Course Instructor were clearly explained.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.78, SD = .428. Four students (22.2%) rated it “4” and 14 students (77.8%) rated it “5”.
- 10. Overall, I am satisfied with the Practicum-Internship Orientation.** A total of 18 students answered this item. The average response was 4.78, SD = .428. Four students (22.2%) rated it “4” and 14 students (77.8%) rated it “5”.

One hundred percent of the students either agreed or strongly agreed that they were satisfied with the orientation compared to 92% last year (2014). The majority of the items were answered similarly to last year’s orientation with slight improvement of ratings noted across the board. No comments were written on the back of any of the evaluation forms.

The incoming MF Internship Director was introduced at this meeting, but students were asked to direct their questions to the current Internship Director (who was not in attendance at the meeting) until July 1, 2015. No changes in the content of the orientation are indicated by these data. The format will be changing with more participation from the Internship Director requested, especially regarding the process of site selection (#6—the lowest rated item).

Practicum-Internship Orientation Evaluation 2015

Marriage & Family Counseling

Please use the following scale to rate each of these components of the orientation:

Strongly Disagree **Disagree** **Uncertain** **Agree** **Strongly Agree**
1-----2-----3-----4-----5

- 1. The orientation was presented in an informative and clear manner. _____
- 2. Important points were clearly explained during orientation. _____
- 3. The numbers of hours required for Practicum and Internship were clearly stated during the orientation. _____
- 4. The difference between administrative and direct contact hours was clearly stated during orientation. _____
- 5. I had the opportunity to ask questions during orientation. _____
- 6. The process of Site Selection was clearly explained during orientation. _____
- 7. The requirement to notify the Internship Director if there were ANY problems was clearly stated during orientation. _____
- 8. The requirement of submitting proof of insurance and agency contract for approval PRIOR to starting was clearly stated. _____
- 9. The roles of Internship Director, Clinical Director, Site Supervisor and Course Instructor were clearly explained. _____
- 10. Overall, I am satisfied with the Practicum-Internship Orientation. _____

Please use back of this form for any comments you would like to make regarding the Practicum-Internship Orientation. Thank you!