

Course/Instructor Evaluations
Undergraduate Psychology Program
AEOP Undergraduate Psychology Program
Fall 2011
9/17/12

At the end of each term, students in all classes at Chaminade University fill out the *Course and Instructor Evaluation Form*. The reliability for this form, based on 86,018 evaluations (undergrad + grad) is .9566. The Form consists of 9 questions across five scales in a Likert format. The five scales with their abbreviations and quantitative ratings are

Strongly Agree (StAg) = 5
 Agree (Ag) = 4
 Neutral (Neu) = 3
 Disagree (Dis) = 2
 Strongly Disagree (St Dis) = 1

With the exception of the online courses, the results from the undergraduate day psychology program and undergraduate evening psychology program (AEOP) courses, for the Fall 2011 term were obtained and analyzed.

The overall results across both programs indicated that

- The course are well planned, structured, and delivered
- The academic requirements are challenging
- The instructor is prepared, knowledgeable about the subject, and teaches at a high level
- The students gained a significant amount of knowledge

It is clear from the results that the quality of the coursework offered by the Psychology Department across both programs is quite high. The individual results for both Psychology programs are below.

Course/Instructor Evaluations
Fall 2011 – PSY (DUG)

Q1 – Course requirements were clearly stated

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	190	103	20	4	3	4.48	92%

Q2 - Class time was used productively

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	176	108	24	7	5	4.38	89%

Q3 – The instructor was consistently well prepared for class sessions

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	194	88	27	9	2	4.45	88%

Q4 – Academic requirements for this course were challenging

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	169	109	29	10	3	4.35	87%

Q5 – The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
319	224	76	13	4	2	4.62	94%

Q6 – Overall the quality of the instructor’s teaching was high

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	191	90	23	10	6	4.41	88%

Q7 – I gained a significant amount of knowledge from this course

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
319	174	98	31	10	6	4.33	85%

Q8 – Overall I feel this was a good course

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	187	90	30	7	6	4.39	87%

Q9 – I would recommend this instructor’s course to another student

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
320	192	81	27	11	9	4.36	85%

**Course/Instructor Evaluations
Fall 2011 – PSY (AEOP)***

Q1 – Course requirements were clearly stated

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	38	8				4.83	100%

Q2 - Class time was used productively

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	34	11	1			4.72	98%

Q3 – The instructor was consistently well prepared for class sessions

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	39	7				4.85	100%

Q4 – Academic requirements for this course were challenging

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	36	8	2			4.74	96%

Q5 – The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	41	5				4.89	100%

Q6 – Overall the quality of the instructor’s teaching was high

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	38	7	1			4.80	98%

Q7 – I gained a significant amount of knowledge from this course

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	37	8	1			4.78	98%

Q8 – Overall I feel this was a good course

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	35	10	1			4.74	98%

Q9 – I would recommend this instructor’s course to another student

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
46	38	8				4.83	100%

N size is low for AEOP courses because the results from the online courses were not available at the time this report was compiled.