

**Behavioral Sciences Division  
Course/Instructor Evaluations  
DUG/AEOP/GRAD OVERALL**

**Fall 2011**

**Submitted 10/11/12**

At the end of each term, students in all classes at Chaminade University fill out the *Course and Instructor Evaluation Form*. The reliability for this form, based on 86,018 evaluations is .9566. The Form consists of 9 questions across five scales in a Likert format. The five scales with their abbreviations and quantitative ratings are

Strongly Agree (StAg)	= 5
Agree (Ag)	= 4
Neutral (Neu)	= 3
Disagree (Dis)	= 2
Strongly Disagree (St Dis)	= 1

With the exception of the online courses, the results from all of the undergraduate day programs (Behavioral Sciences, Criminal Justice, Environmental Studies, and Psychology), undergraduate evening program (AEOP), the Master of Science in Counseling Psychology Program (MSCP) and the Master of Science in Criminal Justice Administration Program (MSCJA) in the Behavioral Science Division for the Fall 2011 term were obtained and analyzed.

The overall results indicated that

- The course are well planned, structured, and delivered
- The academic requirements are challenging
- The instructor is prepared, knowledgeable about the subject, and teaches at a high level
- The students gained a significant amount of knowledge

It is clear from the overall results that the quality of the coursework offered by the Behavioral Sciences Division is quite high. The specific results for each of the 9 questions are listed below.

**Q1 – Course requirements were clearly stated**

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
1413	875	415	84	26	13	4.50	91%

**Q2 - Class time was used productively**

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
1413	854	406	101	32	20	4.45	89%

**Q3 – The instructor was consistently well prepared for class sessions**

Nsize	St Ag	Ag	Neu	Dis	St Dis	Mean	% StAg/Ag
1413	919	375	83	24	12	4.53	92%

**Q4 – Academic requirements for this course were challenging**

<b>Nsize</b>	<b>St Ag</b>	<b>Ag</b>	<b>Neu</b>	<b>Dis</b>	<b>St Dis</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>% StAg/Ag</b>
<b>1412</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.43</b>	<b>88%</b>

**Q5 – The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject**

<b>Nsize</b>	<b>St Ag</b>	<b>Ag</b>	<b>Neu</b>	<b>Dis</b>	<b>St Dis</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>% StAg/Ag</b>
<b>1411</b>	<b>1051</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.68</b>	<b>95%</b>

**Q6 – Overall the quality of the instructor’s teaching was high**

<b>Nsize</b>	<b>St Ag</b>	<b>Ag</b>	<b>Neu</b>	<b>Dis</b>	<b>St Dis</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>% StAg/Ag</b>
<b>1412</b>	<b>916</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>90%</b>

**Q7 – I gained a significant amount of knowledge from this course**

<b>Nsize</b>	<b>St Ag</b>	<b>Ag</b>	<b>Neu</b>	<b>Dis</b>	<b>St Dis</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>% StAg/Ag</b>
<b>1410</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>89%</b>

**Q8 – Overall I feel this was a good course**

<b>Nsize</b>	<b>St Ag</b>	<b>Ag</b>	<b>Neu</b>	<b>Dis</b>	<b>St Dis</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>% StAg/Ag</b>
<b>1412</b>	<b>866</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.45</b>	<b>89%</b>

**Q9 – I would recommend this instructor’s course to another student**

<b>Nsize</b>	<b>St Ag</b>	<b>Ag</b>	<b>Neu</b>	<b>Dis</b>	<b>St Dis</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>% StAg/Ag</b>
<b>1411</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4.44</b>	<b>87%</b>