

## **Analysis of MSCP Marriage and Family Counseling Practicum-Internship Orientation Evaluation 2014**

**By  
Tim W. Ficklin, Ph.D.**

On May 13, 2014, the annual Practicum-Internship Orientation was held. There were 26 evaluation forms (see attached form) collected from the 29 Marriage and Family Counseling Emphasis student attendees (90% response rate). The students were asked to rate each item on a 1 to 5 Likert scale from Strongly Disagree (1) to Uncertain (3) to Strongly Agree (5). The following results were obtained from a SPSS analysis of the data for each question.

- 1. The orientation was presented in an informative and clear manner.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.50, SD = .583. One student (3.8%) answered it “3”, 11 students (42.3%) rated it “4” and 14 students (53.8%) rated it “5”.
- 2. Important points were clearly explained during orientation.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.46, SD = .706. One student (3.8%) rated it “3”, 8 students (30.8%) rated it “4” and 14 students (53.8%) rated it “5”.
- 3. The number of hours required for Practicum and Internship were clearly stated.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average score was 4.81, SD = .402. Five students (19.2%) rated this item “4” and 21 students (80.8%) rated it “5”.
- 4. The difference between administrative and direct contact hours was clearly explained.** A total of 26 students answered this question. The average response was 4.81, SD = .402. Five students (19.2%) rated it “4” and 21 students (80.8%) rated it “5”.
- 5. I had the opportunity to ask questions during orientation.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.69, SD = .549. One student (3.8%) rated it “3”, 6 students (23.1%) rated it “4” and 19 students (73.1%) rated it “5”.
- 6. The process of Site Selection was clearly explained during orientation.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.09, SD = .800. Seven students (26.9%) rated the item “3”, 9 students (34.6%) rated it “4”, one student (3.8%) rated it “4.5” and 9 students (34.6%) rated it “5”.
- 7. The requirement to notify the Internship Director if there were ANY problems was clearly stated during orientation.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.77, SD = .429. Six students (23.1%) rated it “4” and 20 students (76.9%) rated it “5”.
- 8. The requirement of submitting proof of insurance and agency contract for approval PRIOR to starting was clearly stated.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.63, SD = .686. One student (3.8%) answered it “3”, 6 students (23.1%) rated it “4”, one student (3.8%) answered it “4.5” and 18 students (69.2%) rated it “5”.

9. **The roles of Internship Director, Clinical Director, Site Supervisor and Course Instructor were clearly explained.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.61,  $SD = .686$ . Two students (7.7%) answered it “3”, 6 students (23.1%) rated it “4” and 18 students (69.2%) rated it “5”.
10. **Overall, I am satisfied with the Practicum-Internship Orientation.** A total of 26 students answered this item. The average response was 4.58,  $SD = .643$ . Two students (7.7%) answered it “3”, 7 students (26.9%) rated it “4” and 17 students (65.4%) rated it “5”.

Ninety-two percent of the students either agreed or strongly agreed that they were satisfied with the orientation. The majority of the items were answered similarly to last year’s orientation. This was the first time since this Clinical Director was appointed that the Mental Health and Marriage and Family Counseling Emphases were not oriented together. This saved occupying a much larger conference room and also provided a more intimate (classroom) setting for the event and was suggested by the Internship Director, Dorothy Bach.

The only change of any significance appears to be the responses to Question 6 which dropped from an average rating of 4.48 to 4.09 (the lowest of the ten questions). This question involves explaining the process of site selection and involves the Internship Director specifically. Ms. Bach was not in the room at the time of the explanation (helping another student) and thus was unavailable to elaborate upon the process. This rating change on one item may simply reflect that unique occurrence and thus no action regarding the actual content of the orientation appears necessary at this time.

**Practicum-Internship Orientation Evaluation 2014**

**Marriage & Family Counseling**

**Please use the following scale to rate each of these components of the orientation:**

**Strongly Disagree**                      **Disagree**                      **Uncertain**                      **Agree**                      **Strongly Agree**  
1-----2-----3-----4-----5

- 1. The orientation was presented in an informative and clear manner. \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Important points were clearly explained during orientation. \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. The numbers of hours required for Practicum and Internship were clearly stated during the orientation. \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. The difference between administrative and direct contact hours was clearly stated during orientation. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. I had the opportunity to ask questions during orientation. \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. The process of Site Selection was clearly explained during orientation. \_\_\_\_\_
- 7. The requirement to notify the Internship Director if there were ANY problems was clearly stated during orientation. \_\_\_\_\_
- 8. The requirement of submitting proof of insurance and agency contract for approval PRIOR to starting was clearly stated. \_\_\_\_\_
- 9. The roles of Internship Director, Clinical Director, Site Supervisor and Course Instructor were clearly explained. \_\_\_\_\_
- 10. Overall, I am satisfied with the Practicum-Internship Orientation. \_\_\_\_\_

**Please use back of this form for any comments you would like to make regarding the Practicum-Internship Orientation. Thank you!**